Peer Review Template

# Note to Reviewers and Readers

The University of Oregon defines teaching excellence as professional, inclusive, research informed, and engaged in reflective practice. Teaching evaluation draws on multiple sources of feedback in assessing a faculty member’s teaching: results from the Student Experience Survey, instructor self presentation, and peer review by faculty colleagues.

Peer reviews provide a snapshot of a faculty member’s teaching practice usually gleaned from observation of a single class session and, sometimes, review of certain course materials. This template defines the broad standards of good teaching through lettered “conditions” and provides lists of teaching practices as examples of each condition (For more information on the origins of these definitions, see the Provost’s “[Revising UO’s Teaching Evaluations](https://provost.uoregon.edu/revising-uos-teaching-evaluations)” webpage.) The lists are not comprehensive; the reviewer can specify other practices they observe. Moreover, practices used in a course may not be observable in every class session. Finally, effective practices may span more than one of the professional, inclusive, and research informed categories; the reviewer is welcome to discuss activities wherever seems most appropriate.

You can use this template to guide your review, adding additional practices to the tables as needed, and using the prompts after each table to provide supporting detail and convey feedback.

The [Peer Review of Teaching](https://teaching.uoregon.edu/resources/peer-review-teaching) page gives examples of which professional, inclusive, and research-informed practices might be contained in the various sources of evidence often included in peer reviews. Learn more about using this template at the [TEP Peer Review Template](https://teaching.uoregon.edu/resources/tep-peer-review-template) page.

# Course Observation Context

1. Instructor name:
2. Reviewer name:
3. Observation date:
4. Contextual information about the course (e.g., name/number, course modality, type and level of students [majors/non-majors, first-years/seniors, elective/required course]):
5. Number of students enrolled in the course and approximate number present on observation day.
   1. Enrolled:
   2. Present:

1. If you communicated with the instructor prior to the observation, what did they ask for feedback about or note that they were working on?
2. What materials, beyond the in-class observation, did you consider?
3. If you observed a class session, please provide a brief overview of the learning objectives for the day.

# 1. Professional Teaching

|  |
| --- |
| Professional Teaching includes:Readily available, coherently organized, and high-quality course materials; syllabi that establish student workload, learning objectives, grading, and class policy expectations.  1. Respectful and timely communication with students. Respectful teaching does not mean that the professor cannot give appropriate critical feedback. 2. Students’ activities in and out of class designed and organized to maximize student learning. |

## Examples of Professional Teaching Practices

The following are examples of A-C, listed above.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Example practice**  **The instructor:** | **Observed** | **Not observed[[1]](#footnote-2)** | **Contrary evidence observed** |
| Has organized course material into a logical framework which is explicitly communicated, for example by giving learning objectives and a lesson outline in oral and written form at the beginning of the class session. [A] |  |  |  |
| Presents instructions and guidelines transparently, explaining the purpose of the assignment or activity, the tasks needed to complete it, and the criteria for success. [A] |  |  |  |
| Invites student questions and participation through multiple modes (ex: in class, on Canvas discussion board, etc.). [B] |  |  |  |
| “Scaffolds” student learning by breaking activities, examples, etc. down into steps. [C] |  |  |  |
| Provides necessary materials and adequate time for completion of activities. [C] |  |  |  |
| Responds to questions in a timely fashion. [B] |  |  |  |
| Has a logically structured and easy to use Canvas site, e.g. material is organized chronologically using modules or pages; each one clearly communicates the learning objectives, activities to complete, and content to engage. |  |  |  |
| Provides a course syllabus in Canvas with learning objectives, grade and absence policies, and [other elements required by Senate policy](https://provost.uoregon.edu/syllabus-requirement-policy). [A] |  |  |  |
| [Optional reviewer-added practice] |  |  |  |
| [Optional reviewer-added practice] |  |  |  |

## Details and Suggestions

* If you observed professional teaching practices, please give details of at least one example.
* Please suggest at least one area of professional teaching to improve or enhance.

# 2.Inclusive Teaching

|  |
| --- |
| Inclusive Teaching includes:  1. Instruction designed to ensure every student can participate fully and that their presence and participation is valued. 2. The content of the course reflects the diversity of the field's practitioners, the contested and evolving status of knowledge, the value of academic questions beyond the academy and of lived experience as evidence, and/or other efforts to help students see themselves in the work of the course. |

## Examples of Inclusive Teaching Practices

The following are examples of A and B, listed above.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Example practice**  **The instructor:** | **Observed** | **Not observed[[2]](#footnote-3)** | **Contrary evidence observed** |
| Expresses and promotes a growth mindset, framing adversity as common and temporary, and emphasizing that all learners can develop skills and knowledge over time. [A] |  |  |  |
| Connects class content to students’ prior knowledge or experience; and/or to current events, real-world phenomena, or other disciplines; and/or to prior class lessons, assignments, or readings. [B] |  |  |  |
| Provides community-building opportunities. These may be content-centered (e.g. working in small groups) or structured but more purely social interaction. [A] |  |  |  |
| Encourages and facilitates respectful dialogue, discussion, and student-student interaction for all students. [A] For example:   * Structures activities with clear tasks that promote equal participation. * Helps people find partners or create groups.   Ensures there are explicit expectations or guidelines for interaction. |  |  |  |
| Has designed multiple options for student engagement. For example, there are opportunities for student a) choice, b) connection to course goals, and c) self-assessment and reflection. [A] |  |  |  |
| Has chosen course content that reflects diversity in the field or discipline both in the identities of the scholars/practitioners/creators studied and by including different perspectives on or approaches to issues/methods. [B] |  |  |  |
| Has adopted low-cost or no-cost course materials. [A] |  |  |  |
| Formats course materials to be digitally accessible to all, for example by using headings, alt-text, providing captions or transcripts for audio/video files, and giving readings in text-based rather than image-based files. [A] |  |  |  |
| [Optional reviewer-added practice] |  |  |  |
| [Optional reviewer-added practice] |  |  |  |

## Details and Suggestions

* If you observed inclusive teaching practices, please give details of at least one example.
* Please suggest at least one area of inclusive teaching to improve or enhance.

# 3. Research-Informed Teaching

|  |
| --- |
| Research-Informed Teaching includes:  1. Instruction models a process or culture of inquiry characteristic of disciplinary or professional expertise. 2. Evaluation of student performance linked to explicit goals for student learning established by faculty member, unit, and, for core education, university; these goals and criteria for meeting them are made clear to students. 3. Timely, useful feedback on activities and assignments, including indicating students' progress in course. 4. Instruction engages, challenges, and supports students. |

## Examples of Research-Informed Teaching Practices:

The following are examples of A through D, listed above.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Example practice**  **The instructor:** | **Observed** | **Not observed[[3]](#footnote-4)** | **Contrary evidence observed** |
| Has developed course content by drawing on relevant scholarly works, including current research/developments in the field or discipline. [A] |  |  |  |
| Invites students into the subject matter, for instance through storytelling, compelling case studies, or explicit commentary about the skills, values, or formation of the field/discipline. [A] |  |  |  |
| Shows how disciplinary experts approach problems, either by modeling the process or by explicitly guiding students through it. [A] |  |  |  |
| Aligns course content (knowledge, skills, or abilities) and engagement activities with relevant learning objectives, such as those for assignments, class sessions or modules, the overall course, or relevant department or university core education objectives (when applicable). [B] |  |  |  |
| Incorporates low-stakes assessment to help students gauge their learning. Examples include polling questions, short Canvas quizzes, one-minute papers, muddiest point statements, questions embedded in lecture content, end of week or module metacognitive reflections, etc. [C] |  |  |  |
| Provides timely, actionable, and goal-oriented feedback on activities and assignments. [C] |  |  |  |
| Asks a variety of types of questions (factual, application, critical, etc.) and allots time for students to respond to/discuss questions in class or in postings such as discussion boards. [D] |  |  |  |
| Teaches the class at a level appropriate for most students. [D] |  |  |  |
| [Optional reviewer-added practice] |  |  |  |
| [Optional reviewer-added practice] |  |  |  |

## Details and Suggestions

* If you observed research-informed teaching practices, please give details of at least one example.
* Please suggest at least one area of research-informed teaching to improve or enhance.

# 4. Engaged Teaching

|  |
| --- |
| Engaged Teaching includes: Demonstrated reflective teaching practice, including through the regular revision of courses in content and pedagogy. |

* If the reviewee has been demonstrating engaged teaching, please give details of at least one example.
* Please identify and comment on one teaching area the reviewee has chosen for improvement or enhancement moving forward. This may be something cited in your pre-review communication or something new.

1. Not observed in the materials or class session reviewed. The practice might be used at other points in the course. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Not observed in the materials or class session reviewed. The practice might be used at other points in the course. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. Not observed in the materials or class session reviewed. The practice might be used at other points in the course. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)